## **Archived Document**

This archived document is no longer being reviewed through the CLSI Consensus Document Development Process. However, this document is technically valid as of September 2017. Because of its value to the laboratory community, it is being retained in CLSI's library.



February 2003

# **AUTO13-A2**

Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition

This document identifies important factors that designers and laboratory managers should consider when developing new software-driven systems and selecting software user interfaces. Also included are simple rules to help prepare validation protocols for assessing the functionality and dependability of software.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

## **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute**

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

#### **Consensus Process**

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## **Commenting on Documents**

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

#### **Appeals Process**

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeals, documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA P: +1.610.688.0100 F: +1.610.688.0700 www.clsi.org standard@clsi.org AUTO13-A2 Vol. 23 No. 4 ISBN 1-56238-484-8 ISSN 0273-3099 Vol. 23 No. 4

Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition

Volume 23 Number 4

Paul J. Mountain, M.Sc., M.T. (ASCP) Andrzej J. Knafel, Ph.D. Suzanne H. Butch, M.A., M.T. (ASCP), SBB Louis Dunka, Jr., Ph.D. Rodney S. Markin, M.D., Ph.D. David O'Bryan, Ph.D.

## Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document AUTO13-A2—Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition provides user interface design recommendations that make new software programs easier for laboratory personnel to learn and use. Additionally, the guideline addresses the preparation and execution of validation plans for software purchased from manufacturers; custom software commissioned by the laboratory; or in-house applications developed to collect, interpret, or report laboratory, patient, or quality control information.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition. CLSI document AUTO13-A2 (ISBN 1-56238-484-8). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2003.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



Copyright <sup>©</sup>2003 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

#### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition. CLSI document AUTO13-A2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2003.

#### **Previous Editions:**

November 1994, December 1995

Archived: September 2017

ISBN 1-56238-484-8 ISSN 0273-3099

## Contents

Abstrac	xt		i				
Commi	ttee Me	mbershipi	ii				
The Qu	ality Sy	vstem Approachvi	ii				
Forewo	ord	i	X				
1	Scope1						
2	Introdu	action	.2				
Part I: I	Design o	of User Interfaces	.3				
3	Overvi	ew	.3				
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Scope Intended Audience Design and Evaluation Process	3				
4	Task A	Automation	.4				
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Definition: What is Task Automation? Discussion Task Analysis Design Guidelines for Task Analysis and Task Allocation Guidelines for Evaluating Task Automation in Laboratory Systems	4 4 6 7				
5	User C	Control	.8				
6	Consis	tency	.9				
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Definition: What is Consistency? Discussion Design Guidelines That Promote Consistency	9 9 0 1				
7	Naviga 7.1 7.2 7.3	Definition	222				
8	Screen	s and Reports1	4				
	8.1 8.2	Definition	4				
9	Error H	Andling: Recognition, Prevention, and Recovery1	5				
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6	Definition1Discussion1Perceptual Errors1Cognitive Errors1Motor Errors1Error Recognition1	5 5 6 6				

## **Contents (Continued)**

	9.7	Guidelines for Error Prevention and Recovery	.17			
10	Securit	у	.22			
Part II:	System	s Validation, Operation, and Monitoring	.22			
11	Overvi	ew	.22			
	11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4	Scope Intended Audience Definitions Resource Requirements	.22 .22 .23 .23			
12	Plannii	ng	.23			
	12.1 12.2 12.3	Project Management	.24 .25 .25			
13	Testing	ç	.26			
	13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4	Strategy Approach (Component vs. System) Environment (Active vs. Static Tests) "Sign-Off" and Approvals	.27 .27 .27 .27 .27			
	13.5 13.6	Data Collection Methods	.28			
	13.7	Acceptance Criteria	.28			
	13.8 13.9 13.10	Error Analysis and Handling Evaluation of Results	.28 .30 .30			
14	Operat	ion and Monitoring	.31			
	14.1 14.2 14.3 14.4 14.5	Security	.31 .32 .33 .34 .35			
Referer	nces		.36			
Additio	onal Ref	erences	.37			
Append	lix A. F	Format of a Software Specification Document	.40			
Append	lix B. D	ata Entry Validation Form	.41			
Append	lix C. S	ample Test Case Report Format	.42			
Append	lix D. S	ample Test Case #1 – Expired Crossmatch	.44			
Append	Appendix E. Sample Test Case #2 - ABO/Rh Compatibility Table Review					
Appendix F. Sample Test Case #3 – ABO/Rh Compatibility Test						

## **Contents (Continued)**

Appendix G. Sample Test Case #4 – Patient's Historical Blood Type	50
Appendix H. Hospital Information System (HIS) Interface Validation	51
Appendix I. HIS Interface Quality Assurance Documentation	54
Appendix J. Worksheet Calculations Validation	56
Appendix K. Example of Validation of Worksheet Calculations	58
Summary of Comments and Area Committee Responses	67
Related NCCLS Publications	

## The Quality System Approach

NCCLS subscribes to a quality system approach in the development of standards and guidelines, which facilitates project management; defines a document structure via a template; and provides a process to identify needed documents through a gap analysis. The approach is based on the model presented in the most current edition of NCCLS document HS1—*A Quality System Model for Health Care*. The quality system approach applies a core set of "quality system essentials (QSEs)," basic to any organization, to all operations in any healthcare service's path of workflow. The QSEs provide the framework for delivery of any type of product or service, serving as a manager's guide. The quality system essentials (QSEs) are:

Documents & Records	Equipment	Information Management	Process Improvement
Organization	Purchasing & Inventory	Occurrence Management	Service & Satisfaction
Personnel	Process Control	Assessment	Facílities & Safety

AUTO13-A2 addresses the quality system essentials (QSEs) indicated by an "X." For a description of the other NCCLS documents listed in the grid, please refer to the Related NCCLS Publications section at the end of the document.

Documents & Records	Organization	Personnel	Equipment	Purchasing & Inventory	Process Control	Information Management Occurrence Management	Assessment	Process Improvement	Service & Satisfaction	Facilities & Safety
X GP2-A4	Х	X GP21-A	х	Х	x	X X		х	х	X GP18-A

Adapted from NCCLS document HS1—A Quality System Model for Health Care.

## Foreword

Many *in vitro* diagnostic instruments and specimen processing devices in the hospital laboratory are now computer controlled and actuated. Instrument manufacturers design and develop the embedded software that provides the functionality for these systems. The computer software presents an "interface" to the user that can make operation of the instrument a reasonable task to learn and perform.

In addition to other computerized information management systems, laboratorians in the modern clinical laboratory use a host of different computer controlled instruments, each with its own (sometimes unique) user interface. This multitude of different user interfaces affects learning, training, productivity, and potentially patient outcomes in the laboratory. Part I (Sections 3 through 10) provides guidelines for the design of software user interfaces that offer developers a common, consistent design direction for laboratory device applications.

Whether they purchase software from third-party vendors or develop the software themselves, laboratory personnel are responsible for the validation of this software. Part II (Sections 11 through 14) contains recommendations for preparation and execution of validation plans for software packages.

## Key Words

Data management systems, laboratory instrumentation, process control, software design, software validation, user interface

## Laboratory Instruments and Data Management Systems: Design of Software User Interfaces and End-User Software Systems Validation, Operation, and Monitoring; Approved Guideline—Second Edition

## 1 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to issues that affect ease of learning and the ease of use of software user interfaces. Although there is a need to improve the hardware interface between operators and instruments (e.g., keyboard, mouse, touch screen, printer, reports, voice, and light pens used when adding or removing patient samples, reagents, and waste), these topics are not within the scope of this guideline. AUTO13-A2 is not intended as a tool to be used in the selection, recommendation, or judgment of the suitability of specific input/output technologies, since these may change rapidly. Since it is described elsewhere,<sup>1,2</sup> the transfer of electronic information between information and/or automation systems (such as between a laboratory information system or laboratory automation system software and an instrument) is not the subject of this document.

This document identifies the most important factors that designers and laboratory managers should consider during the development of a new software-actuated system and when selecting a software user interface intended to improve the ease of learning and use within the clinical laboratory. Without attempting to provide a comprehensive or exhaustive discussion of software user interfaces or trying to define an identical appearance for user interfaces by describing a single, detailed design solution, this document addresses some common design elements. This discussion is intended to encourage manufacturers of laboratory instruments and specimen handling devices to develop more uniform software user interfaces within their product lines.

The primary focus of this document is the software user interface within the centralized laboratory environment. The guidelines presented in this document are not directly constructed for point-of-care, physician-office, or over-the-counter devices, although many of the principles discussed apply to these devices as well. The primary focus of this document is software user interfaces on instruments, although the guidelines also apply to interfaces on laboratory systems and other associated information systems used in the laboratory.

These design guidelines and examples are not, however, universally applicable to all laboratory systems. Implementation of a specific design depends on the size, complexity, and cost of a device, as justified by its intended use.

This document provides some simple rules to help laboratory personnel prepare validation protocols that fulfill the laboratory's obligation to test and verify the functionality and dependability of its software. This document does not advocate relieving software developers of their duty to validate the software products that they develop; AUTO13 offers assistance to the purchaser when no other means of validation is available. Developers should refer to sources such as IEEE sources for specific guidelines for software system validation.<sup>3,4</sup>

## 2 Introduction

This document provides guidelines for the design of software user interfaces (also referred to as "humancomputer interaction") that focus on two related areas. First, it identifies elements of a user interface that are most likely to facilitate ease of learning and use. Second, it provides some direction as to commonalities in "look and feel" that further the development of an easier-to-use software interface, as well as improve its design. The subcommittee believes that AUTO13-A2 will initiate a dialogue between users (e.g., laboratorians) and developers of software. This dialogue is intended to bring laboratorians and manufacturers closer to an agreement as to what elements should be consistent among software user interfaces if the goals of ease of learning and use are to be accomplished.

In the modern clinical laboratory, it is necessary for clinical laboratory personnel to learn and use various user interfaces for many computer-actuated devices, including clinical instruments and laboratory information systems. The user interfaces of computer-driven devices help to manage the workflow of laboratory personnel; for this reason, they have a major effect on productivity and the effectiveness of laboratory processes. Because these interfaces have such an effect on productivity, it is important to ensure that they are easy to learn and use. This is especially true at a time when laboratorians are frequently asked to perform more tasks, as well as new tasks (e.g., CLIA compliance), with fewer or less highly skilled staff members.

In concept, "easy-to-learn" and "easy-to-use" software user interfaces have the potential to improve laboratory productivity. The reality is that user software interfaces are often difficult to learn and use. First, software user interfaces are different from one manufacturer to another; frequently, they are also different from one product to another from the same manufacturer. This makes it difficult for technicians to learn one interface and apply that learning to another interface. Second, many interfaces are difficult to use, because current knowledge in software user interface design is not applied during the development of the products. Furthermore, many products are not tested by their designers for ease of learning and use.

This document will help designers of computer-actuated diagnostic instrument systems identify those areas of a software user interface where commonalties in design, rather than product differentiation, can provide the greatest benefit to the laboratorians. AUTO13-A2 also provides guidelines for purchasers of computer-actuated diagnostic instrument systems that establish common benchmarks by which to judge the suitability of a potential acquisition; these guidelines move laboratorians closer to an important goal— a decrease in the total cost of laboratory operations.

AUTO13-A2 also offers help to laboratorians in the preparation and execution of end-user validation plans for software developed to collect, interpret, or report laboratory, patient, or quality control information from various sources, including:

- software purchased from vendors;
- custom software commissioned by the laboratory; and
- applications constructed in-house.

There was some discussion about splitting this document into two independent guidelines, because the audience for each part was different: product software designers for Part 1 and end users for Part 2. While there is some logic to that approach, it was felt that the two sections should be kept in one document to promote active discussion and interaction between both target audiences and to maximize the acceptance and utility of any software interface to laboratorians.

Software validation is a requirement of laboratories before it can be implemented, and user interface designers must understand the laboratory's need for effective, easy-to-use and easy-to-validate software

## **Related NCCLS Publications**\*

- **GP2-A4 Clinical Laboratory Technical Procedure Manuals; Approved Guideline—Fourth Edition (2002).** This document provides guidance on development, review, approval, management, and use of policy, process, and procedure documents in the laboratory testing community.
- **GP18-A Laboratory Design; Approved Guideline (1998).** This guideline provides a foundation of information about laboratory design elements that can be used to help define the issues being considered when designing a laboratory.
- **GP21-A Training Verification for Laboratory Personnel; Approved Guideline (1995).** This document provides background and recommends an infrastructure for developing a training verification program that meets quality/regulatory objectives.

<sup>\*</sup> Proposed- and tentative-level documents are being advanced through the NCCLS consensus process; therefore, readers should refer to the most recent editions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>©</sup>Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. All rights reserved.



CLINICAL AND LABORATORY STANDARDS INSTITUTE®

# **Explore the Latest Offerings From CLSI!**

As we continue to set the global standard for quality in laboratory testing, we are adding products and programs to bring even more value to our members and customers.



By becoming a CLSI member, your laboratory will join 1,600+ other influential organizations all working together to further CLSI's efforts o improve health care outcomes. You can play an active role in aising global laboratory testing standards—in your laboratory, and iround the world.

Find out which membership option is best for you at www.clsi.org/membership.



Find what your laboratory needs to succeed! CLSI U provides convenient, cost-effective continuing education and training resources to help you advance your professional development. We have a variety of easy-to-use, online educational resources that make *e*Learning stress-free and convenient for you and your staff.

See our current educational offerings at www.clsi.org/education.



When laboratory testing quality is critical, standards are needed and there is no time to waste. eCLIPSE<sup>™</sup> Ultimate Access, our cloud-based online portal of the complete library of CLSI standards, makes it easy to quickly find the CLSI resources you need.

Learn more and purchase eCLIPSE at clsi.org/eCLIPSE.

## For more information, visit www.clsi.org today.

