## T&T WG

June 2018 San Diego, CA

# Co-Chairs Jana Swenson Shelley Campeau

#### <u>Secretary</u> Carey-Ann Burnham

#### **Members**

**Andrea Ferrell** 

**Janet Hindler** 

Melissa Jones

**Peggy Kohner** 

Dyan Luper

Linda Mann

**Susan Munro** 

**Barth Reller** 

Flavia Rossi

**Dale Schwab** 

**Richard Thomson** 

**Maria Traczewski** 

**Nancy Watz** 

**Mary York** 

## T&T Agenda

- 1. Inducible clindamycin resistance testing language
- 2. Addition of text surrounding 0.125 vs 0.12 reporting from Methods Application & Interpretation WG
- 3. Clarification of beta-hemolytic strep/tetracycline comment?
- 4. Staphylococcus Table 2C options

### T&T Item 1:

12.	Melissa Jones-	Ed	Table 2C; comment	Does CLSI have a position on	Suggest wording change: (29)
	UNC Healthcare		29	_	Detection of inducible
				encouragement to test in	clindamycin resistance (ICR) should be performed on all staphylococci. ICR can be detected by

#### Table 2C, comment (29):

"Inducible clindamycin resistance can be detected by disk diffusion using the D-zone test or by broth microdilution (see Table 3G, Subchapter 3.9 in M02<sup>1</sup>, and Subchapter 3.12 in M07<sup>2</sup>)."

#### <u>Comment update – language adapted from "Supplemental Tests – Required"</u>

For isolates that test erythromycin resistant and clindamycin susceptible or intermediate, testing for inducible clindamycin resistance is required before reporting clindamycin. See Table 3G, Subchapter 3.9 in M02,<sup>2</sup> and Subchapter 3.12 in M07.<sup>1</sup>

Update will apply to Table 2 comments where ICR is mentioned:

Table 2C, comment (29), Table 2G, comment (23), and Table 2H-1 – comment (14)

### T&T Item 1:

12.	Melissa Jones-	Ed	Table 2C; comment	Does CLSI have a position on	Suggest wording change: (29)
	UNC Healthcare		29	_	Detection of inducible
				, 66	clindamycin resistance (ICR)
				_	should be performed on all
				every section where ICR is	staphylococci. ICR can be
				discussed. For example:	detected by

Discussions around confusion or lack of understanding by docs that a lab has tested erythromycin to determine need for ICR testing and also around soft language in Table 3G for optional reporting comments

ICR Ad Hoc: Review language around ICR testing/reporting comments to help convey this information

Outreach WG: Suggestion that this is a good topic to include in an ORWG newsletter

### T&T Item 2:

## Additional text for reporting 0.125µg/mL as 0.12µg/mL

#### From Methods Application WG call:

It would be helpful to have the comment regarding reporting 0.125 as 0.12 in other places in the document – particularly other strep tables and other organisms that are mentioned in the endocarditis guidelines...should it be added in all places we have 0.12 as a breakpoint since it also applies to other drugs?

#### Additional comments from Dr. Samir Patel:

"This confusion arises from European endocarditis guidelines, which suggest 0.125 rather than 0.12. The IDSA/AHA states 0.12. As some labs are doing E-test which has 0.125, the confusion arises when they get 0.125. I found this paper that shows that reporting 0.125 instead of 0.12 does affect on choice of antibiotics. So I would recommend having a stronger statement."

### T&T Item 2:

## Additional text for reporting 0.125µg/mL as 0.12µg/mL

**Current language** 

Table 2H-2. S	treptococcus spp. Vir	idans Grou	p (Con	tinu	ed)							
Test/Report	Antimicrobial	Disk	Zone	e Catego eter Bre est whole	Interpretive Categories and MIC Breakpoints, µg/mL							
Group	Agent	Content	S	ŀ	ı	R	S	!	ı		R	Comments
PENICILLINS		•										
A	Penicillin Ampicillin	-	-		-	-	≤0.12 ≤0.25		0.25–2 0.5–4		≥4 ≥8	(5) Viridans streptococci isolated from normally sterile body sites (eg, CSF, blood, bone) should be tested for penicillin susceptibility using an MIC method.  (6) A penicillin MIC of ≤0.125 μg/mL is the same as a penicillin MIC of ≤0.12 μg/mL and both should be interpreted as susceptible. Laboratories should report an MIC of ≤0.125 μg/mL as ≤0.12 μg/mL.  (7) Rx: Penicillin- or ampicillin-intermediate isolates may necessitate combined therapy with an aminoglycoside for bactericidal action.

Table 7 language

When serial twofold dilution minimal inhibitory concentrations are being prepared and tested, the actual dilution scheme is:

128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.0625, 0.03125, 0.015625, 0.0078125, 0.0039063, 0.0019531 μg/mL, etc.

For convenience only, and not because these are the actual concentrations tested, it was decided to use the following values in these tables:

128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.12, 0.06, 0.03, 0.016, 0.008, 0.004, 0.002  $\mu$ g/mL, etc.

The values that appear in the tables are equivalent to the actual values tested, eg, 0.12  $\mu$ g/mL = 0.125  $\mu$ g/mL, 0.016  $\mu$ g/mL = 0.015625  $\mu$ g/mL.

### T&T Item 2:

### Add lang D. MIC Reporting Concentrations III. Repo

#### Reporting Results Organisms Included in Table 2

The MIC values determined as described in M072 may be reported directly to clinicians for patient care purposes. However, it is essential that an interpretive category result (S, I, or R) also be provided routinely to facilitate understanding of the MIC report by clinicians. Zone diameter measurements without an interpretive category should not be reported. Recommended interpretive categories for various MIC and zone diameter values are included in tables for each organism group and are based on the evaluation of data as described in CLSI

Laboratories should only report results for agents listed in Table 2 specific to the organism being tested. It is not appropriate to apply disk

When serial twofold dilution minimal inhibitory concentrations are being prepared and tested, the actual dilution scheme is, for example:

16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.0625, 0.03125 µg/mL, etc. (See Table 7 for additional dilutions)

For convenience only, and not because these are the actual concentrations tested, it was decided to use the following values in these tables:

16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.12, 0.06, 0.03 µg/mL, etc.

The values that appear in the tables are equivalent to the actual values tested, eg,  $0.12 \,\mu\text{g/mL} = 0.125$  $\mu$ g/mL, and laboratories should report an MIC of  $\leq$ 0.125  $\mu$ g/mL as  $\leq$ 0.12  $\mu$ g/mL.

16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.0625, 0.03125 μg/mL, etc. (See Table 7 for additional dilutions)

For convenience only, and not because these are the actual concentrations tested, it was decided to use the following values

16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.12, 0.06, 0.03 μg/mL, etc.

The values that appear in the tables are equivalent to the actual values tested, eg,  $0.12 \,\mu\text{g/mL} = 0.125 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ , and laboratories should report an MIC of ≤0.125 µg/mL as ≤0.12 µg/mL

## T&T Item 3: Tetracycline comment clarification

#### **Comment from DivC forwarded to T&T:**

For **beta hemolytic strep and tetracyclines** comment 13 (Table 2H-1), we have a physician requesting doxycycline sensitivities on a beta strep isolate. Tetracycline is on our panel and tested "R". **So does that mean you can interpret isolates "R" to tetracycline to also be "R" to doxycycline? Or this only works for "S" results?** 

#### **Current Table 2H-1, comment (13):**

"Organisms that are susceptible to tetracycline are also considered susceptible to doxycycline and minocycline."

Is additional wording recommended to clarify that resistance to tetracycline does not imply resistance to doxycycline or minocycline?

Caveat: no testing recommendations for doxycycline or minocycline for β-hemolytic strep or Viridans strep

## T&T Item 3: Tetracycline comment clarification

#### **Comment from DivC forwarded to T&T:**

For **beta hemolytic strep and tetracyclines** comment 13 (Table 2H-1), we have a physician requesting doxycycline sensitivities on a beta strep isolate. Tetracycline is on our panel and tested "R". **So does that mean you can interpret isolates "R" to tetracycline to also be "R" to doxycycline? Or this only works for "S" results?** 

#### **Optional additional text:**

"Organisms that are susceptible to tetracycline are also considered susceptible to doxycycline and minocycline. However, resistance to doxycycline and minocycline cannot be inferred from tetracycline resistance."

Is additional wording recommended to clarify that resistance to tetracycline does not imply resistance to doxycycline or minocycline?

Caveat: no testing recommendations for doxycycline or minocycline for β-hemolytic strep or Viridans strep

## **T&T Item 4:** Table 2C *Staphylococcus* options

Goal is to improve the table formatting as testing recommendations continue to get more complicated, particularly with oxacillin and non-*S. aureus* species

Table 2C-1 *S. aureus* only

Table 2C-2 Other staphylococci with option to group species based on testing recommendations

### Version 2

Table 2C: Column added for specific indications

### Version 3

Table 2C-1 Oxacillin/cefoxitin and vancomycin only

Table 2C-2 All other antimicrobials

#### Table 2C-1. Zone Diameter and MIC Breakpoints for Staphylococcus aureus

			Zone Di	etive Categor ameter Break arest whole n	cpoints,		Categ eakpo µg/ml	,	
Test/Report Group	Antimicrobial Agent	Disk Content	S	1 1	I R	s	ı ,	R	Comments [Removed for brevity]
	-STABLE PENICILLINS (Co			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		[Kemoved for brevity]
A	Oxacillin		-	-       	-           	≤2 (oxacillin)	-           	≥4 (oxacillin)	
		30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 22	_   	l ≤21 I	≤4 (cefoxitin)	l _ I I	≥8 (cefoxitin)	

#### Table 2C-2. Zone Diameter and MIC Breakpoints for Staphylococcus spp., other than S. aureus

			Inte Zon	erpretive Categorie e Diameter Break nearest whole m	points,	Interpretive C	ategories and MIC	C Breakpoints,	Comments [Removed
Test/Report Group	Antimicrobial Agent	Disk Content	s	ı	! R	s		! R	for brevity]
	-STABLE PENICILI	LINS (Continued)							7,
A	Oxacillin (For Group 1)		-	-	- !	≤ 2 (oxacillin)	- !	≥ 4 (oxacillin)	
				 			 	! !	
		30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 22	-	≤21 	≤ 4 (cefoxitin)	-   	≥ 8 (cefoxitin)	
A	Oxacillin (For Group 2)	1 μg oxacillin	≥ 18	-	ı ≤17 I	≤ 0.25	-	ı ≥ 0.5	
A	Oxacillin (For Group 3)	-	-	-	-    -  -	≤ 0.25 (oxacillin)	-	≥ 0.5 I (oxacillin)	
rouping designations:  Acceptable Methods  Cefoxitin MIC  Cefoxitin disk diffusion		30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ <b>2</b> 5	-	I I ≤ 24 I	-	-	I I – I	

In General Comments section of Table 2C-2, include grouping designations:

Groups	Staphylococcus spp.	Acceptable Methods
Group 1	S. lugdunensis	Cefoxitin MIC
		<ul> <li>Cefoxitin disk diffusion</li> </ul>
		Oxacillin MIC
Group 2	S. pseudintermedius and S. schleiferi	Oxacillin MIC
		<ul> <li>Oxacillin disk diffusion</li> </ul>
Group 3	Other Staphylococcus spp. (except S.	<ul> <li>Cefoxitin disk diffusion</li> </ul>
	lugdunensis, S. pseudintermedius, S.	Oxacillin MIC
	schleiferi, and S.epidermidis).	

Table 2C. Zone	Diameter and MI	C Breakpoints for	Staphylococcus sp	p.						
Test/Report	Antimicrobial	Staphylococcus species interpretation	Disk	Zo	etive Categ and one Diamete reakpoints rest whole	er			gories and MIC oints,	Comments
Group	Agent	restrictions	Content	S		R	S	1		[Removed for brevity]
PENICILLINASE-S	TABLE PENICILLIN									
A	Oxacillin	For reporting of S. aureus and S. lugdunensis		_	-	-	≤ 2 (oxacillin)		≥ 4 (oxacillin)	
			30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 22	-	≤ 21	≤ 4 (cefoxitin)	-	≥ 8 (cefoxitin)	
A	Oxacillin	For reporting of S. pseudintermedius and S. schleiferi	1 μg oxacillin	≥ 18   	-	≤ 17	≤ 0.25		≥ 0.5	
A	Oxacillin	For reporting of CoNS except S. lugdunensis, S. pseudintermedius, and S. schleiferi	-	- 1	-	-	≤ 0.25 (oxacillin)	-	≥ 0.5 (oxacillin)	
			30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 25	_	≤ 24	-	_ i	-	
CEPHEMS (PARE	NTERAL)									
В	Ceftaroline	Only, for reporting against <i>S. aureus</i> only, including Methicillin Resistant <i>S.</i> aureus.(MRSA)	30 µg	≥ 24   	21–23	≤ 20	≤1	2	≥ 4	

(19) For S. aureu	s, vancomycin-susce	ptible isolates may becom	e vancomycin intermed	iate during	the course	of prolor	ged therapy.			
В	Vancomycin	For reporting against <i>S. aureus</i> only	-	-	- !	-     	≤ 2	I 4-8 I I	l ≥ 16 I I	
В	Vancomycin	CoNS	-	-	-	-   	≤ 4	8–16 I	I ≥ 32 I	
lnv.	Teicoplanin	none	-	- !	<u> </u>	I - I	≤ 8	16 I	I ≥ 32 I	
LIPOGLYCOPER	PTIDES									
С	Dalbavancin	For reporting	-	- 1	-	ı –	≤ 0.25	ı -	ı –	
С	Oritavancin	against S. aureus	-	_	-	_	≤ 0.12	_	_	
С	Telavancin	only, including Methicillin Resistant S. aureus.(MRSA)	-	-	_	_     	≤ 0.12	_     		
LIPOPEPTIDES										
В	Daptomycin	none	-	-	_	l _ I	≤ 1	l <u> </u>	l _ I	

### Option 1

 New column for species indications Table 2C-1 (or 2C-2). Zone Diameter and MIC Breakpoints (oxacillin and vancomycin only) for Staphylococcus spp.

		Staphylococcus		Zone Dia		gories and eakpoints, le mm			egories and points, L	
Test/Report Group	Antimicrobial Agent	species Indications	Disk Content	s	П	I R	s	Li	l R	Comments [Removed for brevity]
	-STABLE PENICILI		Content					<u> </u>		(itemores for previty)
A	Oxacillin	S. aureus and S. lugdunensis		-	-   	I - I	≤ 2 (oxacillin)	I - I	≥ 4 (oxacillin)	
			30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 22	-   -	I I ≤ 21	≤ 4 (cefoxitin)	   - 	l ≥ 8 (cefoxitin)	
۸	Oxacillin	S. pseudintermedius and S. schleiferi	1 μg oxacillin	≥ 18		≤ 17 I I	≤ 0.25	     	≥ 0.5 I I	
A	Oxacillin	S. epidermidis	1 μg oxacillin	≥ 18 (oxacillin)	-	≤ 17 (oxacillin)	≤ 0.25 (oxacillin)	   	≥ 0.5 (oxacillin)	
			30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 25 (cefoxitin)	-	≤ 24 (cefoxitin)		· 	l I •	
A	Oxacillin	Other Staphylococcus spp.	-	- 1	-   	 ! !	≤ 0.25 (oxacillin)	 I I	≥ 0.5 (oxacillin)	
			30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 25	-	I ≤ 24	-	I _ I I	l - I	
GLYCOPEPTIDE										
		eptible isolates may beco	me vancomycin interm	ediate during	the cours	prolonged				
В	Vancomycin	S. aureus	-		-   	 	≤ 2	I 8	≥ 16 I I	
						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ı	
		Other Staphylococcus spp.	-		-     	-     	≤ 4	8-   <sup>16</sup> 	≥ 32   	

### Option 2

- Separate MIC/DD
- List species indications

Table 2C-1 (or 2C-2). Zone Diameter and MIC Breakpoints (oxacillin and vancomycin only) for Staphylococcus spp.

Test/Report	Antimiosphiol	Staphylococcus	Disk	Zo	retive Cate and one Diame reakpoint rest whole	ter s,		/e Cate Breakp μg/m L		Comments
Group	Antimicrobial Agent	Species Indications	Content	s		R	s	1	R	[Removed for brevity]
PENICILLINA	SE-STABLE PEN	IICILLINS							•	
A	Oxacillin, MIC	S. aureus S. lugdunensis	-	-	I - I <u>-</u> I		≤2 ≤4 (cefoxitin)	-	≥ 4 ≥ 8 (cefoxitin)	
		Other Staphylococcus spp		-	.   		≤0.25		≥0.5	
A	Oxacillin, DD	S. aureus S. lugdunensis	30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥ 22	I - I I	≤21   	- 1	-	-	
		S. pseudintermedius, S. schleiferi, S. epidermidis	1 μg oxacillin	≥18	I . I	≤ 17 I		-	-	
	ŕ	CoNS (except S. lugdunensis, S. pseudintermedius, and S. schleiferi)	30 µg cefoxitin (surrogate test for oxacillin)	≥25	1 - 1 1	≤24     	- 1	-	-	
19) For S. au		-susceptible isolates may	hecome vano	omycin in	termediate	during the	e course of pr	olonged	I therapy.	
В	Vancomycin	S. aureus	-	-	-       	-       	≤2	1 4-8 1 1		
		Other Staphylococcus spp.	-	-	-     	-	≤4	1 8-16 1	3 1 ≥32 I	